

# Lecture 7

Monday, 12 September 2022 12:29 PM

## The Computational Complexity of NE.

- Importance: economists vs. computer scientists

"If your laptop can't find it, neither can the market"

- Kamal Jain

"I'm an economist so I can ignore computational constraints / I'm a computer scientist so I can ignore gravity"

- Lane Fortnow

**Defn [2NASH]:** Given a 2-player finite normal form game, find an equilibrium.

Q. Is 2NASH  $\in$  NP?

**Recall:** Given  $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ ,  $L \in$  NP if there is a deterministic TM  $D$  that for any input  $(x, y)$ , runs in time  $\text{poly}(|x|)$  and:

(i)  $\forall x \in L, \exists y: D(x, y)$  accepts

(ii)  $\forall x \notin L, \forall y, D(x, y)$  rejects

$y$  "witness / proof" that  $x \in L$ .

Then how do we write 2NASH as a subset of  $\{0,1\}^*$ ?

If  $2NASH = \{\text{all 2-player normal form games which have a NE}\}$ ,

then trivially  $2NASH \in$  NP,  $D$  just has to check if  $x$  is a valid 2PNE game.

Actually 2NASH is a search problem, not a decision problem.

We define the class FNP, or Functional NP.

Instead of strings, this class is defined on binary relations.

**Defn:** A binary relation  $P(x, y)$  where  $|y| = \text{poly}(|x|)$  is in FNP if there is a deterministic poly-time algo that determines if  $P(x, y)$  holds

Every language in NP has a corresponding relation in FNP:

If  $L \in$  NP, let  $D$  be the poly-time algo that determines  $L$ .

Then  $P(x, y) = 1$  if  $y$  is a witness for  $x$ , i.e.,  $D(x, y)$  accepts

$P(x, y) = 0$  o.w.

Eg. SAT  $\in$  FNP

FSAT =  $(\phi, \Gamma)$  s.t.  $\phi(\Gamma) = T$

FSAT  $\in$  FNP

Similarly, 2-NASH =  $(\Gamma, \sigma)$  s.t.  $\sigma$  is a NE for  $\Gamma$

2NASH  $\in$  FNP

In fact since we know that every game has a NE, we can put this in the smaller class TFNP:

Total Functional NP

**Defn:** A binary relation  $P(x, y) \in$  TFNP if  $P(x, y) \in$  FNP and  $\forall x \exists y$  s.t.  $P(x, y)$  holds

Note that FSAT  $\notin$  TFNP

2NASH  $\in$  FNP

We can also define the class FP:

**Defn:** A binary relation  $P(x, y) \in$  FP if  $\exists$  a deterministic poly-time algo that, given  $x$ , finds  $y$  s.t.  $|y| = \text{poly}(|x|)$  &  $P(x, y) = 1$  if such  $y$  exists, or returns "none".

**Theorem:** FP = FNP  $\Leftrightarrow$  P = NP

(prove yourself)

Now, 2-NASH  $\in$  FNP. Can we say that 2-NASH is FNP-complete?

**Theorem:** If 2NASH is FNP-complete then NP = coNP

**coNP:**  $L \in$  coNP if there is a deterministic TM  $D$  that runs in time  $\text{poly}(|x|)$  and:

$\forall x \notin L, \exists y: D(x, y)$  accepts

$\forall x \in L, \forall y, D(x, y)$  rejects

UNSAT  $\in$  coNP (and is coNP-complete)

**Proof of Theorem:** 2NASH is FNP-hard  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$  a poly-time reduction from all problems in FNP to 2NASH

$\Rightarrow \exists$  a polytime reduction from FSAT to 2NASH.

$\Rightarrow \exists$  poly-time fns  $f, g$  s.t.

(let  $P$  be predicate for 2NASH,  $Q$  be the predicate for FSAT)

$\forall x (\exists y: P(f(x), y) = 1 \Rightarrow Q(x, g(y)) = 1$

$\forall y P(f(x), y) = 0 \Rightarrow Q(x, y) = 0$

If  $\exists$  such  $f, g$ , then we claim UNSAT  $\in$  NP.

Given a formula  $\phi$ ,

(i) if  $f(\phi)$  is a valid game, then

$\exists y: P(f(\phi), y) = 1 \Rightarrow Q(x, g(y)) = 1 \Rightarrow x \in$  SAT

(ii) if  $f(\phi)$  is not a valid game, then

$\forall y P(f(\phi), y) = 0 \Rightarrow Q(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x \notin$  SAT

Thus,  $f(\phi)$  is a poly-time verifiable certificate!  $\square$

Oh. So 2-NASH not FNP-complete.

Can it be TFNP-complete?

Unclear if  $\exists$  TFNP-complete problems! This is a "semantic" class, while NP is a "syntactic" class.

(Kind of vague:  $P(x, y)$  is in TFNP if there always exists a certificate  $y$  ... how do you show existence?)

Can define subclasses of TFNP, based on proof of existence!

(i) **PPA:** If a graph has an odd degree node, it has another one

(ii) **PLS:** every directed acyclic graph has a sink

(iii) **PPP:** Any fn. mapping  $n$  elts. to  $n-1$  elts. has at least one collision

(iv) **PPAD:** If a directed graph has a node w/ in-degree  $\neq$  out-degree, then it has another one.

Then  $2NASH \in$  PPAD  $\subseteq$  PPA

$\subseteq$  PPP

**Defn (End of The Line):** Directed graph  $G$  consists of  $2^n$  nodes. Each has in-degree & out-degree  $\leq 1$ . There are 2 Boolean cts. of size  $\text{poly}(n)$ .  $P$  takes as input a node & outputs the predecessor,  $S$  takes as input a node & outputs the successor.

Special node  $0^n$  has no predecessor, but has a successor.

Given as input  $P, S$ , find another source or a sink in  $G$ .

Clearly, EoTL  $\in$  TFNP.

**Defn:** Problem  $\Pi$  is in PPAD if it reduces in poly-time to EoTL.

Then by Lence-Howson, 2NASH  $\in$  PPAD (but we haven't shown how to pick directions for the edges, so actually we've only shown 2NASH  $\in$  PPA).

For now:

**Theorem:** 2-NASH is PPAD-complete (Chen, Deng, Teng '09)

**Theorem:** 2D-SPEARER & 2D-BROWER are PPAD-complete (Chen, Deng '08)

Further,

**Theorem:** Given a 2-player symmetric normal form game, the following problems are NP-complete:

(i) are there  $\geq 2$  NE?

(ii) is there a NE where player 1 gets at least  $\lambda$  utility?

(iii) is there a NE where player 1 plays pure strategy  $s$  with positive probability?

etc.

(Gilboa & Zemel '89)